

	Signal $H^+H^-$	Signal $H^+W^-$	Signal $H^+$	Multi- jets	$EW+t\bar{t}$ with $\tau_h$	$EW+t\bar{t}$ no $\tau_h$
$\tau$ part of trigger; data	1.5–1.8	1.3–1.5	1.8–3.0	−0.5	1.2	1.4
$\tau$ part of trigger; simulation	0.7–0.8	0.6–0.7	0.8–1.1	−0.2		0.8
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ part of trigger; data	2.6–3.3	2.5–2.8	2.9–4.2	−1.2	2.5	2.8
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ part of trigger; simulation	0.1	0.1	0.1	−0.1		0.4
Approximation in $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ part of trigger					12	
Single $\mu$ trigger; data					−0.1	
Veto of events with e	0.1–0.2	0.2–0.3	0.2–0.3	<−0.1		0.4
Veto of events with $\mu$	0.1	0.1–0.2	0.1	<−0.1		0.5
$\tau_h$ identification (S)	6.0	6.0	5.9–6.0	−0.8	6.0	
e misidentification as $\tau_h$ (S)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	−0.1		3.3
$\mu$ misidentification as $\tau_h$ (S)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<−0.1		1.1
Jet misidentification as $\tau_h$ (S)	0.1	0.1–0.3	0.1	−6.9		17
$\tau_h$ energy scale (S)	0.3–2.6	2.7–5.2	0.3–2.7	−1.8	5.8	2.0
Jet energy scale	2.6–5.2	2.0–3.0	1.6–2.1	−1.4		3.2
Jet energy resolution	1.1–1.8	0.5–1.3	0.7–1.5	−0.2		3.2
Unclustered $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ energy scale	0.1–0.4	0.1–0.9	0.1–0.4	−0.5		1.5
b-jet tagging (S)	5.9–20	4.7–5.3	4.6–5.4	−3.5		5.0
Top quark $p_T$ modelling (S)					+5.6 −6.8	+11 −6.6
Pileup modelling	0.1–0.9	0.1–0.8	0.1–0.6	−0.1		2.9
$\mu$ identification; data					<−0.1	
Multijet contamination					2.0	
$W \rightarrow \tau\nu_\tau \rightarrow \mu\nu_\mu\nu_\tau$ fraction					1.2	
Non-emb. vs. emb. difference (S)					+14 −12	
Multijet $m_T$ distribution shape (S)					4.6	
Multijet template fit					3.0	
Probabilistic $m_T$ in single top quark						6.8
$t\bar{t}$ cross section, scale	+2.5 −3.4	+2.5 −3.4		+1.0 −0.7		+2.2 −2.9
$t\bar{t}$ cross section, PDF+ $\alpha_S$	4.6	4.6		−1.6		4.0
Single top quark cross section						1.0
$W+jets, Z/\gamma^*, VV$ cross section						0.1
Integrated luminosity	2.6	2.6	2.6	−0.8		2.6